REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is intended to be a complete response to the official action mailed February 11, 2004 in which claims 1-9 were rejected. New claim 10 has been added and finds support in \P 0093 of the specification.

In the specification, \P 0001, has been amended to update the patent status of application 10/303,373.

DOUBLE PATENTING

Claims 1-9 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10-13 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,477,804 (Weder et al.) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,341,446 (Weder et al.).

Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer over U.S. 6,477,804, thereby mooting the rejection.

Conclusion

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are now in a condition for allowance and requests issuance of a Notice of Allowance thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher W. Corbett, Reg. No. 36,109

DUNLAP CODDING & ROGERS, P.C.

P.O. Box 16370

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73113

Telephone: 405/607-8600 Facsimile: 405/607-8686

Agent for Applicants